

14 Aug 95

If Tokyo, H + N were
"revenge, spiritual,
punishment" ... (for
"crime, surprise,
humiliation") of
Pearl Harbor:

- Very careful

- For what?

No attacks by Japan
on US cities.

- Pearl Harbor, Philo,
had been 3 years earlier;
- Meanwhile, Japan

Many had been
destroyed, i.e. carriers
(or stopped, with in, of
lack of oil) - Battle of
Midway, Coral Sea, etc.

Weren't there adequate
"revenge" (for attacks
on US Navy, 2400 with
deaths (~ 240 civ).

[500,000 + 300,000 civ?]

[How many US/Allied civ
killed in Pacific?

(vs. China)

- for Death March,
torture, death rate
(20%?) of POWs?

- How come we
didn't do the same,
to Japanese POWs?!

My, at same rate,
is "reprisal," but for
that [Did not know
"permit this reprisal,
for deterrence"?!]

"They caused deaths on
US POWs". But they were
doing this already! Why

not try to detour them?!

"But we observe
laws of War."
(!)

Wouldn't this have
achieved home-front
morale? Hastened
end of war, saved
lives? (esp. if provoked
them...)

"But former High
Command didn't come
about this POWs."

Did they consider this

civilians? [Soyuz: No,
and civ morale
wasn't reduced enough
to note, even by
chint bombing.

(USSBS finding in
Huang - also true in
Japan - even after
A-bombs (despite
ed claims to contrary in
US press this year).

Do "you" advocate
killing POWs - say, if
they sent down any US?

Many Japanese draw
the lesson: to stop
"war crimes," our next
stop war — nothing
else helps (Rothblat).
Murakami; Russell-
Evans; Puzos (2)
Murakami, Int. H-T, 14
ag 95 (head of the
museum at Okinawa).

Shu, what is practical
goal of WCP?

To renegeate post
war, war crimes? On this

be done except
by condoning WMD -
including incineration,
area bombing, targeting
of facilities essential
to public health (water
works, docks, sewage,
electricity... hospitals...)
(Munich War)

BUT WCP - by
claiming continuity of
pre-WWII laws,
must consider past
allied actions!

14 Aug 95

"Absolute Evils"

CSA/Util says, there
are none. (Hence,
trust Pros to judge,
i suspect!)

as. "Lesser Evils."

Partial ordering of
Evils, not Complete!

Not all evils
can be meaningfully or
"objectively, consensually"
compared, pairwise!

Many dimensions, can't be
reduced to one.

~~poss~~ Hypothetically,
one can make anything
into a perverse Evil.

(Atmospheric ignition?
Nuclear Winter?)

Is only a possibility,
a prob $\leq 1\%$ (or
some people - computer -
yes. ("Not if certain.")

For ideal formation
(Fall?) - no. "For the
principle - but the
dear fall" (air being)

I have been 2
choice-situations where
many have agreed
permission ^{if necessary} can use,
of a MO was ^{before Nazi Bomb} justified:

1) vs. Hitler A-Bomb, as
Nazi victory ^{Nazi} → A-bomb
(real: 1939-44)

2) Alleged choice-
sit of summer, 1945.

BUT this was not
the presumption of top discussion
makes - out of even out wrong.

Even the first case —
though deval. of Bank
might have been justified
(if accompanied by
appropriate constraints,
containing plans for
achieving ban if not
unwished to coin or deter)
— it was not wise/
prudent as it was
done — perhaps not
an justified case,
if "we" had known the

future letters; if
Lysenko's freight is
1945 Deal has expired
in 1939-44. (I & family, etc.)

[Did he not realize
then - Till US bombing
= early '45? (But, UK
never bombing...)]

that USS should not
be trusted not to
use Bomb when ready,
even if it was not
unwilling to win vs. Japan,
or to "save 15 lives."

see Kristof, NYT (Int H-T,
Aug 14, 85) on Okumura
(gas factories):

"Those who are to
feel guiltiest about
the gas are in some
cases the survivors of
the atomic bombing of
Hiroshima" (50 km away)

1) "Survivor guilt."
(^{but,} about every mil/cas
cas. and survivors

2) Hibak. are most
aware of (less denied)

(more search for info),
critical of, and
outspoken about

1) Criminal moral
standards (Switzerland,
Okinawa); 2) less guilty
of crime of silence
by name; 3) more
critical about govern-
ments: US, Japanese,
European (see visit to
Nagasaki; Dickler)
(Meyer, Matsushima; political
response)

4) ~~pass~~ man
inattentive with
other, past, present,
future, writing: killed,
wounded, survivors;
- not anxious to see
other (see enemy) have
same experience

(Soldiers who had
experienced want of
Blitz)

- anxious to warn
others - of proximity of
war/guns (city officials)
in war - in all countries -

"I am Yanderus." 4

(Tayate Ordino
was evacuated from
Ikinawa at 5, before
evacuation. This (alone)
saved him (a civ,
a child), but his
parents died.

Apollitinal till Koke
earthquake is 1995!

Idu, he wanted to
break plan to move Talga
is case of (before?)

earthquake. Then
he learned there
are 47 reactors in
Japan, many near
faults. "There's
no place to go, to
escape to." No place
is safe. He realized
over 2 reactors? He
finds Arthur Nichols-
Smith's stand is "Too
isolating." (like
Kensington). He joined
Arthur Merion Polignone;

H/V

Cliche: "By People
were shocked by the
japanese air ~~bomb~~
bombing of cities. But
by the time of H/V,
the Allied publics had
gotten inured to city
bombing; they had come to
accept it as normal,
legitimate, a part of war."

Did these change of
attitude occur just
after Warsaw & Rotterdam?

or just after Coventry?
or during the Blitz?

- Did the occasion

German raids on cities
come to them... OK, as long
as they, no longer "Glozi-
like"? The V-1's? V-2's?

- Was the failure to
prosecute German leaders
at Nuremberg, or Japanese
leaders, for these clear-
cut atrocities, a
refutation that the
public had come to
"accept" them, as a

result of founding?

Was the process of
habituatation a gradual
one? or was it quite
sudden & definitive, in
the spring of 142 (2 years
after the Blif)? & very
well established by
143, with the Hanky
frinston? Dial

(killing man Munnus
in one night then
Kondows dial in the
6-month Blif).

Is there any evidence
that the public attitude
shift reflected anything
other than the sudden
shift in ^{nationalist} the perpetration?

(Does Croat ethnic
cleansing + massacre this
week reflect "public"
acceptance of this after
more than a year of it
by Serbs? Actually, the
Croats are now getting
comparable condemnation
not from the United Nations, but

msg. from Stearns Roubal
Today (14 Aug 95) in Wash
Post/H-T — though warning
to make the point that
"sentimental" considerations
like this ("balance of
atrocities") is no basis for
sound, stable policy.

(precisely because the
"balance" is apt to
shift suddenly, against
our "friends" (as in '42,
coming).

Wanted "let for let"
upheld, "blunting of
sensibilities" have
tolerated just as easily
our, or UK's, kidnapers,
^{was} shooting or torturing of POWs,
our Final Solution (killing our Jews,
(It did tolerate kidnapers
from the axis - i.e. WWII, Korea,
and Sov - but not My Kins).
(Even, Phoenix!)

It wasn't "the public"
that demanded the shift
in tactics of March 9-10, 1945

though it didn't protest
it — nor did a single
official. But had
the US or UK public even
— or a US/UK official! —
even protested or
condemned a recent
air raid of this one
country? — even in
1942-43, before there
had become routine?
(and did they "accept" these
area raids in '41 or even later?)
For that matter, did

with FOR, hst, or
Stinson order, this
shift?

Or, on the other
hand, protest it?
(Stinson did question it,
but quickly accepted
SC's, and passed them
on to hst).

Was public even told
about this new "tactic"
and any?

Wf not? Since they
were so hardened!

(9 FDR, Churchill

Wf didn't get take
the credit for this
"necessary," "effective,"
"war-shortening (not,
winning) life-saving
strategy."

Gooden says that
"obviously" "conventional"
(the) banking would
never produce summers,
as the A-bombs did.

But that was not
obvious to Kelley or
Arnold, before, during, or

after the fire-bombing.

Was the area bombing
"necessary"? Since it
did nothing in Germany,
and did not produce
much in Japan or
6 months (and only
marginally lowered production
— for oil shortage),
it was hardly "necessary."

Was it justified by a
"desperate" hope... of
staving off defeat, or of

working? ("I'm
anything"). after
mid-42? or even;
mid-42 (when it
started)?

What was the main
and evidence of the
franklin's "success"?

Effectiveness in being
honest and people —
which had already been
done for years in terms
to no useful effort: Mil.

unthinkable; strength at
public relations -
authority (as in Japan)
and creative hatred of
enemy; large destruction
of resources from
clearly effective measures.

W/ the congratulations,
long reputation, after
March 9-10? What
reason was there to
believe (after Hamburg,
Cologne, Berlin, Dresden)
Nazi-like
that the crime would

being writing down
and some of him,
US or Japanese? (It
didn't.)

It was like the
burning of Ants by the
("bad") platoon in Long
An — to "make the
Charlie keep it in the
rain, like us" (ha) —
really, to leave a mark,
and mark things on
someone's + because
it was doable (+ safe).

2 dozens (OK)!
= a tyranny!

Sayer: strat. behind
these, slogans (cray):
that killing sins makes
the guilt, or stop
working, or demand
their gov quit, or ouster
the gov, or make the
officials quit....

Can't disprove this
evidence to kill it. 200k
Croatia this week?
[It does make the
refugees — as in SVK

tington: the next

= NLF! (1967!)]

Edie

588-398-3194

Cape Codder

Till 28th

(Shouldn't you be making
apologizing for what
they did to us?)
To others? This

answer: We were no
worse than French/
English/Germans/Dutch...

and look what our
admirals did ---

[Joint US-J apology
for prolonging war
till miss were used!
Captn Tokyo, etc. Bath

gave were
heartless with
respect to Japanese
crimes!

(as Vought, Doyle,
Innovation say —
Wf imagine Jap. Gov
could "come"?)

(Would SO 6 have
could? (Like ADW
question — ^{but to think longer...} but something
wrong with it! Was he
(not) wrong?)

In my dream:

I imagine telling
an audience about
Hiro/Nag, over even,
not in terms of "How
my cities, prop." but
(like Harry) in
concrete terms:

Dad; ^{long} young; children;
trying to save mothers,
brothers, sisters;

- robots - to avoid

- heat, water

- flesh in strips, gloves

- survivors: families gone

eyeballs
blindness] see "interference"
flash problem!

survivors:
(like Holocaust)

[What did crews of
Boats Com know of
Disaster? Of, "what
have we done" reaction?
Died of exposure?
Other crews?

Would they have questioned
my target: Kyoto, Tokyo?

Dream:

Crisis:

ends, "North Korea
has gotten out of
war, quit."

So? If war
is - between 2 other
sides of crisis:

"Ours" (threatened)
and another Com region
NKK? Cuba? Without
^{NK} this means, they can't
threaten us, - ocean -

so the crisis is
over. (I'm recording
a long R program -
con then to English
time. a broadcast...

I'm, press confs,
8 minutes interviewing for
press - leads to my
reputation "I'm
no journalist, press
affairs prohibit..."

My concern: the "crisis"
- or threats - will undermine
other's chance to get news,
to date us & others...

(though we "won,"
is why I didn't
pounce. NK proved
"nature," backed
off from rules —
despite the
apparent "manus."

I think I was
worried — opposite of
age; cautious, free?
Not bad, anyway.